

Abstract to be submitted to the 4th Degrowth Conference, 2nd – 6th September, 2014, Leipzig, Germany: <http://leipzig.degrowth.org/en/>

Measuring transformation towards a green economy in Germany

Long abstract (max 1200 words)

The economic and financial crisis has pushed different concepts to measure wealth and sustainable development beyond GDP. International literature including concepts of OECD, World Bank, EU and UNEP and research projects like IN-STREAM have been screened. Most concepts still focus on growth trying to make growth “greener”. On a national level, tests of the OECD concept in the Netherlands (Statistics Netherlands 2011) and Germany (Statistisches Bundesamt 2012) showed that part of the discussed indicators are already available. Concerning welfare official statistics and sets of indicators still show gaps, which are addressed in concepts like the National Welfare Index (NWI) for Germany (Diefenbacher, Zieschank et al. 2013). Finally, none of these concepts is currently able to adequately measure the transformation towards a green economy.

Between the conflicting strategies of growth-oriented policies of G20 countries on the one hand, and new alternative concepts of Zero-growth or De-growth on the other hand, the concept of a green economy may offer some potential for establishing a new consensus. Based on the literature review and own research on a sustainable welfare model, constructed by GWS and FFU (Meyer et al. 2013), we have developed a set of indicators for Germany to measure the transformation towards a green economy and the green economy itself. The idea is to observe in different dimensions, whether economic development in Germany moves in the direction of a Green Economy or not, to see how such an information system is usable and can be fixed in the policy debate, and finally, which policy functions the information system may have. It will help to shed some light on the impact of ambitious environmental policies, being it the shift to renewable energy or a massive increase of resource productivity, on economic development, including the rebound effect, environmental and social development.

The concept itself builds on six different categories, (1) use of natural resources, (2) natural capital, (3) quality of life, (4) economic contribution of the green economy, (5) policies, and (6) background information on economic and social development. Each category consists of indicators to describe several dimensions such as different kinds of natural resources etc. The two central dimensions are economy and environment. Environment should be an integral part of economic accounting, not being limited to monetary flows, but including physical stocks and flows.

The first category, use of natural resources, comprises indicators as energy consumption, water use, land use, water use, material consumptions and use of wood and fish. Most indicators are measured separately both for domestic and global use. At least domestic indicators and part of the global ones are already provided by the Statistical Office. This is not the case for monetary damages of the environment. They are taken from the National Welfare Index.

Among others, the environment serves the socio-economy as resource, sink, and delivers ecosystem services. The use of natural resources is limited by global boundaries. The concept enlarges the OECD Green Economy concept. It consists of core indicators and a wish list of needed indicators, which are not yet available in statistics.

The concept is tested regarding energy transformation (Energiewende) in Germany in the next decades. The economy-energy-environment model PANTA RHEI has been used. It builds on official statistics and can be used for consistent projections of future development. Among others it has been applied for economic evaluation of different energy scenarios that have been the basis for the German energy concept in 2010. The scenario Energy transformation contains additional quantified measures to reach the targets of the energy concept until 2030 in contrast to a current policy scenario, which will miss most of the targets.

The test shows for the year 2030 how successful implementation will change and partly improve the set of indicators. As statistics do not yet deliver all preferred indicators, gaps for future development of indicators are identified.

The concept can be enlarged in the international dimension, e.g. explicitly taking global responsibility in the form of global (carbon) footprints into account. It may be linked to attempts of international organizations such as the System of Environmental and Economic Accounts (SEEA) of United Nations. Different other concepts include Wealth Accounting and the Valuation of Ecosystem Services, pursued by the World Bank, the Global Green Growth Institute/OECD/UNEP/World Bank approach of a "Green Growth Knowledge Platform Moving towards a Common Approach on Green Growth Indicators" or methodologies for assessing green jobs or jobs related to renewable energy by ILO or IRENA/IEA.

References:

Diefenbacher, H., Zieschank, R., Held, B. & Rodenhäuser, D. (2013): NWI 2.0 – Weiterentwicklung und Aktualisierung des Nationalen Wohlfahrtsindex. Endbericht zum Vorhaben UM 10 17 907. Studie II im Rahmen des Projektes "Eckpunkte eines ökologisch tragfähigen Wohlfahrtskonzepts als Grundlage für umweltpolitische Innovations- und Transformationsprozesse" für das Bundesumweltministerium. Heidelberg/Berlin.

Meyer, B., Ahlert, G., Zieschank, R. & Diefenbacher, H. (2013): Basic Structures and Political Implications of a Sustainable Welfare Model. GWS Discussion Paper 13/2, Osnabrück.

Statistisches Bundesamt (2012): Test des OECD-Indikatorensets Green Growth in Deutschland, Wiesbaden.

Statistics Netherlands (2011): Green growth in the Netherlands. The Hague/Heerlen, URL: <http://www.cbs.nl/en-GB/menu/themas/macro-economie/publicaties/publicaties/archief/2011/2011-green-growth-pub.htm>.

